



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/682,520	09/13/2001	Anthony John O'Dowd	GB920000078	2615
75	90 06/06/2005		EXAMINER	
WILLIAM E. LEWIS			ROCHE, TRENTON J	
RYAN, MASON & LEWIS, LLP 90 FOREST AVENUE LOCUST VALLEY, NY 11560			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2193	

DATE MAILED: 06/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

١							
1		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Advisory Action	09/682,520	O'DOWD, ANTHONY JOHN				
	Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Trent J. Roche	2193				
_	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
	THE REPLY FILED <u>07 April 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS API		-				
	 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this Adv 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a lotice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in poliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep of the final rejection.	iffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ly must be filed within	ence, which CFR 41.31; or one of the			
	event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW						
1 4 4	Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comof filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any experience.	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the ns after the mailing date of the final rejection pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	The appropriate extension of final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, materials within two mones.	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b by reduce any ths of the date			
-	Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMENDMENTS	be filed within the time period set fo	orth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).			
	 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further content (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) They are not deemed to place the application in be 	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);				
	appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))						
!	 ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling 						
•	the non-allowable claim(s). For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \(\subseteq \) will not be entered, or b) \(\subseteq \) will be entered and an explanation how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-15. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		Naka a A Na W				
1	 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar 	out before or on the date of filing a f nd sufficient reasons why the affida	งอนce of Appeal Will <u>r</u> vit or other evidence	iot be entered is necessary			

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

See Continuation Sheet.

13. Other: ____.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be

11. 🔯 The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Page

entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant states that Wisor does not teach or suggest a "unique identifier" as in the claimed invention, as two bitmap entries would not be unique "since both conditional branches would have the same bit set to a logic one to indicate it is a conditional brach that is 'taken'...the tag would be the same...and both branches may have the same miscellaneous information associated therewith..." (page 6 of the remarks). However, the Examiner contends that even in this situation, the bitmap entries are still unique, as each bitmap entry is an entry into the BTHB (branch trace history buffer) and, as two entries can exist at any one time, the entries are unique as the entries do not overlap or replace one another; they have separate addresses in memory and in the BTHB.

TODD INGBERG / PRIMARY EXAMINER